

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/925,293	Applicant(s) HORNUNG ET AL.
	Examiner Jessica L. Rossi	Art Unit 1733

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) Jessica L. Rossi.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Lipsitz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 June 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant agreed that while amended claim 1, filed on 3/30/04, claims an opening accessible from a first side thereof where the first and second panes are inserted through the opening, this language does not exclude inserting one of the panes into the opening from a second side, as taught by Tibble (see Figure 3; column 4, lines 21-52). Therefore, Applicant agreed to amend claim 1 to state that both the first and second panes are inserted into the opening from the first side (see examiner's amendment).